

A NEW PHASE IN THE BILAYERS OF SEMICONDUCTORS IN QUANTUM HALL EFFECT

KESHAV N. SHRIVASTAVA

*School of Physics, University of Hyderabad,
Hyderabad 500046, India*

We find that a bilayer of semiconductors emits a new Goldstone quasiparticle when Landau levels in the layers are half filled. The emission of the new quasiparticle is associated with the divergence in the energy of the system characteristic of a phase transition.

keshav@mailaps.org Fax. +91-40-3010145

1. Introduction.

Recently, Spielman et al^{1,2} have found a new type of Goldstone boson in quantum Hall effect in bilayers. The Goldstone boson is accompanied with a phase transition or broken symmetry. In the vacuum state if there is a broken symmetry, then a massless particle is emitted. Spielman et al have discovered such a quasiparticle. However, it remains to be proved that there is a phase transition associated with the new quasiparticle. Usually the Hall resistivity is quantized in units of h/ie^2 where i is an integer. It has been reported that i need not be an integer. In particular, it can be equal to $1/3$ or some other fraction. We have found³ that the experimentally observed fractions can be accurately predicted by a special spin-orbit interaction based on a pseudoscalar which describes a $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{s}$ type interaction, where $\vec{v}$ is the velocity of the electron and $\vec{s}$ the spin. Thus there is a new spin-dependent force on the electron. This spin-orbit interaction is of the order of v/c whereas the usual spin-orbit interaction in atoms is of the order of v^2/c^2 . It has been found experimentally that the masses of some of the quasiparticles are equal. This equality of masses has been understood by using the particle-hole symmetry.⁴ Further

details of this calculation have been described in detail⁵. The effective charge and the high Landau levels are well understood by our calculation⁶.

In the present paper, we show that at half filled Landau level, the energy of the system diverges so that there is a phase transition. This phase transition is of second order and hence it is accompanied by a new type of Goldstone boson. In the present case, boson is emitted when $\sqrt{2}$ times the magnetic length is an integer multiple of the distance between the two layers of the semiconductors.

2. Theory.

We show that when a flux is moving between two walls at a distance d apart, a sharp peak in the conductance is predicted when magnetic length, t , is an integer multiple of d , otherwise a broad peak occurs. Using an earlier work, we show that there is a phase transition when both layers are having half-filled Landau levels. This phase is accompanied by a Goldstone type boson. When the magnetic field is applied in the z direction and the electric field along y direction, Hall voltage develops along the x direction. The Hall resistivity is linearly proportional to the applied magnetic field and can be used to determine the carrier concentration, n as,

$$\rho_H = \frac{B}{nec} \quad (1)$$

where B is the magnetic induction and e is the charge of the electron with c as the velocity of light. In 1980 von Klitzing et al⁵ discovered that there is a plateau at integer multiples of h/ie^2 (i =integer), so that

$$\rho_{xy} = \frac{h}{ie^2}. \quad (2)$$

Equating (1) and (2) we find that

$$\frac{B}{nec} = \frac{h}{ie^2}. \quad (3)$$

We define the concentration as the number of electrons per unit area, $n = n_o/A$ so that the above relation becomes

$$BA = \frac{n_o}{i}(hc/e) \quad (4)$$

which shows that flux is quantized with unit charge e . The magnetic field may be measured in terms of a distance such that the area $A = t^2$ above, may be taken as the square of the magnetic length, t so that (4) may be written as,

$$Bt^2 = \frac{1}{i}(hc/e) \quad (5)$$

where we have used $n_o = 1$ as the number of electrons per unit area. For $i = 1$,

$$Bt^2 = hc/e \quad (6)$$

and

$$t = \left(\frac{hc}{eB} \right)^{1/2} \quad (7)$$

which is called the magnetic length. In the case of a two layer system with distance d between layers, the following theorems are predicted. For

$$t = id \quad (8)$$

sharp line in the conductance versus voltage is predicted where magnetic length is perfectly matched with the distance between semiconductor layers. When $t \ll d$ or $t \gg d$, the sharp line disappears and a broad line is then predicted. Thus for a sharp line in the characteristic conductance,

$$Bt^2d^2 = \frac{hc}{e} \quad (9)$$

otherwise a broad line is predicted. Since the integer i has come from the area, the square of this integer is generated. The fraction of conductivity, $\sigma = dI/dV$, in the sharp line is

$$\sigma_o = \sigma_t \frac{\sin^2(\pi d/t)}{(\pi d/t)^2}, \quad (10)$$

where σ_o is contained in the sharp line and σ_t is the total integrated value of the conductivity. This spectrum of dI/dV as a function of V is equivalent to the motion of vortex waves with t as the wave length. Since the condition (9) gives a Goldstone mode, there must be a phase transition associated with zero of an order parameter or the divergence of a physical quantity, such as susceptibility of a ferromagnet. We will show that the energy of the system diverges at half filled Landau level. Since the fractional charges predicted by us³ are exactly the same as those experimentally observed by Eisenstein and Störmer⁷ and others,⁸⁻¹¹ we make use of this model to understand the divergence. All of the aspects of our model³ are in agreement with the experimental data⁷⁻¹¹.

We have reported that a special type of spin-orbit interaction predicts the fractions of charges correctly. This interaction is of first order in v/c whereas the ordinary spin-orbit coupling of an electron in an atom is of second order, varying as v^2/c^2 . The spin dependence in the interaction is introduced by the product $\vec{s} \cdot \hat{n}$ where $\hat{n}$ is a unit vector in the direction of the radius vector $\vec{r}$. From the vectors $\vec{s} \cdot \vec{n}$ and $\vec{v}$, a true scalar of the form $\hat{n} \times \vec{v} \cdot \vec{s}$ may be constructed. The new spin-orbit coupling operator is of the form,

$$V_{sl} = -\phi(r) \hat{n} \times \vec{v} \cdot \vec{s} \quad (11)$$

where $\phi(r)$ is a function of $\vec{r}$ because $\vec{l} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$. This interaction may be written as a spin-orbit interaction,

$$V_{sl} = -f(r) \vec{l} \cdot \vec{s} \quad (12)$$

where $f(r) = \hbar\phi(r)/rm$. It splits the levels with orbital angular momentum l into two levels, $j = l \pm 1/2$. Since,

$$\begin{aligned} -\vec{l} \cdot \vec{s} &= -\frac{1}{2}l \quad \text{for} \quad j = l + \frac{1}{2} \\ &= +\frac{1}{2}(l+1) \quad \text{for} \quad j = l - \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

the energy difference between the two states is

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta E &= E_{(l-\frac{1}{2})} - E_{(l+\frac{1}{2})} \\ &= f(r)(l + \frac{1}{2})\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

As $l \rightarrow \infty$, one of the levels with $j = l + \frac{1}{2}$ in (13) goes to $-\infty$ and the other with $j = l - \frac{1}{2}$ goes to $+\infty$ and the energy different in (14) always goes to ∞ . Therefore, there is a phase transition as $l \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore the Goldstone mode is accompanied by a phase transition. Next, we show that at this phase transition the Landau level is half filled. We obtain the effective fractional charge from the charge in the Bohr magneton or from the cyclotron frequency. Usually $l = 0$, for the conduction electrons but we have to allow the finite values of l to obtain the required result. We consider the spin as well as the orbital motion so that,

$$g_j \vec{j} = g_s \vec{s} + g_l \vec{l} = \frac{1}{2}(g_l + g_s)\vec{j} + \frac{1}{2}(g_l - g_s)(\vec{l} - \vec{s}) . \tag{15}$$

We consider the bound electrons which have finite l . Upon substituting $s = \frac{1}{2}$, the above expression gives,

$$g_j = g_l \pm \frac{g_s - g_l}{2l + 1} \tag{16}$$

which for $j = l \pm 1/2$ and $g_s = 2$, $g_l = 1$ gives,

$$g_{\pm} = 1 \pm \frac{1}{2l + 1} . \tag{17}$$

The cyclotron frequency is defined in terms of the magnetic field as,

$$\omega = \frac{eB}{mc} . \tag{18}$$

Corresponding to this frequency, the voltage along y direction is

$$\hbar\omega = eV_y . \tag{19}$$

From the above two relations

$$\frac{e^2 B}{2\pi m c} = \frac{e^2}{h} V_y \quad (20)$$

which describes the current in the x direction so that the resistivity is

$$\rho_{xy} = \frac{h}{e^2} \quad (21)$$

which is the same as (2) for $i = 1$. We include (17) in (18) so that the current becomes,

$$I_x = \frac{1}{2} g \frac{e^2 B}{2\pi m c} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{g e^2 V_y}{h} . \quad (22)$$

For $l = 0$, $g = 2$ and,

$$I_x = \frac{e^2}{h} V_y \quad (23)$$

so that we define the effective charge as,

$$\nu_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} g_{\pm} . \quad (24)$$

For $l = 0$, $\frac{1}{2}g_+ = 1$ and $\frac{1}{2}g_- = 0$, for $l = 1$, $\frac{1}{2}g_+ = \frac{2}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{2}g_- = \frac{1}{3}$ which determines the fractional charge of a quasiparticle. From (17) and (24) we show below the predicted effective charge,

l	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	∞
$\nu_- = \frac{1}{2}g_-$	0	1/3	2/5	3/7	4/9	5/11	6/13	1/2
$\nu_+ = \frac{1}{2}g_+$	1	2/3	3/5	4/7	5/9	6/11	7/13	1/2

which occurs in two series such that for a given value of l the sum of the two values is always equal to unity,

$$\nu_+ + \nu_- = 1 \quad (25)$$

because of the Kramers conjugate pairs, one has spin $+$ and the other $-$. At $l = \infty$, $g_{\pm} = 1$ for both the series and hence $\nu_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}$ which corresponds to half filled Landau

level. Thus we find that at $l = \infty$, the Landau level is half filled, and as we have seen below eq.(14), there is a divergence and hence phase transition. The modified values of the magnetic length is

$$t^* = \left(\frac{hc}{\nu e B} \right)^{1/2} = id \quad (26)$$

for the Goldstone mode which for $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ is at,

$$\left(\frac{2hc}{eB} \right)^{1/2} = id \quad . \quad (27)$$

Thus the divergence in energy at $l = \infty$, $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ which describes a phase transition, is accompanied by a Goldstone boson. We have obtained three different features, (a) a Goldstone boson, (b) divergence in energy and (c) the correct fractional charge by using the $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{s}$ type interaction given by (11) alone. We can write the velocity in the form of a current as $\vec{J} = n_e e \vec{v}$ where n_e is the concentration of electrons so that the relevant interaction becomes,

$$\mathcal{H}' = -\frac{\phi(r)}{n_e e} \hat{n} \times \vec{J} \cdot \vec{s} \quad (28)$$

which describes all of the essential features of the quantum Hall effect correctly.

3. Comparison with the experimental data.

Usually the Goldstone boson in solids may be a soft phonon associated with a lattice distortion. The zero-phonon lines are common in solids. The Mössbauer lines are also zero-phonon lines. However, in the present problem of semiconductor heterostructures, there are no phonons and the problem is electromagnetic at low temperatures and hence these are new type of Goldstone bosons of intensity

$$I \propto \exp(-d^2/t^2) \quad . \quad (29)$$

The predicted values of the effective fractional charge given above eq.(25) are exactly the same as those experimentally observed by Eisenstein and Störmer.⁷ Not only the

numerical values but also the grouping into two groups predicted here is in agreement with that observed. Similarly, the predicted values are in agreement with the experimentally observed values in many other measurements. When ν is an observable fractional charge, $n\nu$ ($n = \text{integer}$) also becomes observable. Since $l = \infty$ limit gives $\nu = 1/2$, the values $n/2$ become observable. This prediction is also in accord with the experimental data of Yeh et al.¹¹ The divergence in energy at $\nu = 1/2$ shows that there is a phase transition at these values in the semiconductor bilayer to a new state of matter. The symmetry breaking at this point is accompanied with a Goldstone boson. This prediction of emission of a boson is in agreement with the experimental observation of a Goldstone boson at zero voltage¹⁻².

We have predicted that there is a Goldstone boson at $\nu = 1/2$ when the condition (27) is satisfied in the bilayer semiconductor. This mode is associated with a divergence in energy at $l \rightarrow \infty$ as determined from (14). The predicted fractional charges are in agreement with the experimental data in several works⁷⁻¹¹. The predicted Goldstone boson at the phase transition is also in agreement with that found experimentally. A Josephson type flux flow has recently been considered^{12,13} in the semiconductor bilayers which is not in contradiction with our work because we can convert the flux to current. Several advanced theories of the quantum Hall effect have been reviewed from which we find that our theory is consistent with those of others¹⁴. The fractions given by Fig. 18 of Störmer¹⁵ are exactly the same as those predicted by us³. There is no doubt in our interpretation of the Goldstone boson observed by measuring the conductance in the data of Spielman et al¹. The conductance is analogous to the Doppler broadened line calculated by Dicke¹⁶. However, in the case of Dicke's line there are ordinary distances whereas in the present case there is the magnetic length. The interaction (11) involves the vector product of the velocity with the unit vector in the direction of the radius vector which generates the rotations or "magnetic rotons" which are often observed in

the experiments. Thus the Goldstone boson, divergence in energy at $l = 1/2$ required for a singularity in the energy, effective fractional charges and rotations, predicted by our calculations are all in good agreement with the data.

4. Bose condensation or phase transition.

The equation (24) gives the effective fractional charge. The series with $+$ sign gives $\nu_+ = 1/2$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, $\nu_- = 1/2$ occurs also at $l = \infty$. We call these states $A^{(+)}$ and $B^{(-)}$, respectively. The energy of the state $A^{(+)}$ from (13) is $-(1/2)l$ and that of $B^{(-)}$ is $+\frac{1}{2}(l+1)$. When the quasiparticles from these states are added to form a mixed state, the energy is $[-\frac{1}{2}l + \frac{1}{2}(l+1)]f(r) = \frac{1}{2}f(r)$, i.e., we consider ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 as the single particle energies for the two states and form a two particle state with energy, $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$. The Bose distribution for the mixed state is,

$$n = \frac{1}{e^{(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \mu)/k_B T} - 1} \quad (30)$$

where μ is the chemical potential of the mixed state arising from the interactions between the $A^{(+)}$ and $B^{(-)}$ states. So far we have not introduced the wave vector space and hence ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are not associated with any wave vectors but ϵ_1 has spin $+$ and ϵ_2 has spin $-$. Therefore $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$ actually is similar to a Cooper pair with infinite value of l . The two particle energy is,

$$\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = \frac{\hbar^2 k_1^2}{2m} + \frac{\hbar^2 k_2^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}f(r) + \hbar\omega_c(n_1 + \frac{1}{2}) + \hbar\omega_c(n_2 + \frac{1}{2})$$

where the last two terms are the result of Landau levels when the Landau number in state ϵ_1 need not be equal to that in ϵ_2 state. The minimum energy is obtained at $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ and $n_1 = n_2 = 0$,

$$2\epsilon_o = (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)_{min} = \frac{1}{2}f(r) + \hbar\omega_c \quad . \quad (31)$$

The number of particles in the ground state is

$$n_o = \frac{1}{e^{(2\epsilon_o - \mu)/k_B T} - 1} . \quad (32)$$

If these particles are confined in a 2-dimensional plane, the average number of particles is given by,

$$N = n_o + \frac{A}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{k dk}{e^{(\epsilon_{1k} + (\epsilon_{2k} - \mu)/k_B T) - 1}} . \quad (33)$$

We divide this expression by the area A to obtain the number of particles per unit area as,

$$\frac{N}{A} = \frac{n_o}{A} + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{k dk}{e^{(\epsilon_{1k} + \epsilon_{2k} - \mu)/k_B T} - 1} \quad (34)$$

which diverges for $\epsilon_{1k} + \epsilon_{2k} = \mu$. This divergence in the areal density is associated with the Bose condensation. We write $(\epsilon_{1k} + \epsilon_{2k} - \mu)/k_B T = x$ and $(\hbar^2 k_1^2 + \hbar^2 k_2^2)/2m \simeq ak^2$ so that

$$k_B T x + \mu = ak^2 . \quad (35)$$

Differentiating this expression,

$$k dk = \frac{k_B T}{2a} dx \quad (36)$$

which substituted in the above gives the number of quasiparticles per unit area as,

$$\frac{N}{A} = \frac{n_o}{A} + \frac{(k_B T)/2a}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{dx}{e^x - 1} . \quad (37)$$

This means that there is a divergence in N/A when $x = 0$ and away from the divergence, N/A depends linearly on temperature. The particles in the states $A^{(+)}$ and $B^{(-)}$ may Bose condense in the two particle states and the resulting liquid exhibits superflow and hence can tunnel between layers just like a Josephson tunneling. As we have pointed out this Bose condensed state is made from pairs of quasiparticles with one electron spin up and the other spin down but not s wave because of large l . Therefore, the pairs can tunnel through the layers of GaAs/AlGaAs as in Josephson effect. However, in the Josephson

effect in superconductors, the pairs are usually in the s wave, $l = 0$ and in some materials a d -wave has been found with $l = 2$. In the present problem $\nu = 1/2$ is achieved with $l \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, the present state has $l \rightarrow \infty$ which is never the case in superconductors. The $l \rightarrow \infty$ state with spin singlet state is a boson but in superconductors, the Cooper pairs are made from fermions. The eq.(24) gives the effective fractional charge. The series with $+$ sign gives $\nu_+ = 1/2$ at $l \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly $\nu_- = 1/2$ occurs also at $l = \infty$. We call these states as A^+ and B^- , respectively. The energy of the state A^+ from (13) is $-(1/2)l$ and that of B^- is $\frac{1}{2}(l+1)$. The Bose-Einstein distribution for the A^+ state is,

$$n = \frac{1}{e^{(\epsilon_A - \mu)/k_B T} - 1} \quad (38)$$

where the single particle energy in the state A^+ is,

$$\epsilon_A = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} - \frac{1}{2} l f(r) + \hbar \omega_c (n + \frac{1}{2}). \quad (39)$$

At $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$, $l \rightarrow \infty$ and hence A^+ state energy diverges so that there is a phase transition when single-particle A^+ and B^- states are formed. This phase transition is associated with a Goldstone mode and not with Bose condensation. The paired state with $l \rightarrow \infty$, with one particle spin up and the other down is a Bose condensed state. Therefore, the two particle state, one spin up and the other down, with $l = \infty$ for both, gives a Bose condensed state which is not a phase transition. The single particle state at $l = \infty$ does not Bose condense but gives the phase transition and hence the Goldstone mode. The two particle state gives the Bose condensed state. Thus two results emerge. Firstly, the two particle state gives Bose condensation and in this case the Bose condensate itself has to be its own Goldstone mode. Secondly, the single particle $\nu = 1/2$ does not Bose condense but describes a phase transition and hence a Goldstone mode emerges.

We have compared our theory with a number of data and found that in all cases the theory is in accord with the experimental data¹⁷. We find that there is a new change

in the magnetic moment of the electron¹⁸ in the quantum Hall effect. We find that the rate of sweep of the magnetic field plays an important role particularly in NMR¹⁹ and even if NMR is not being taken. The theory of the composite fermions (CFs) is found to be internally inconsistent²⁰ so there are no CFs in the real material. The polarization of the electrons as a function of temperature exhibits ordinary two-level type behavior and shows that fluxes are *not* attached to the electrons²¹.

5. Conclusions

The interaction with dot product of velocity with spin, given by (11), exerts a new force on the electron. The energies arising from this interaction show that there is a divergence and hence a phase transition so that there is a new type of Goldstone boson. We predict that the quasiparticles exhibit effective fractional charge. The predicted Goldstone boson and the fractional charges are in agreement with the experimental observations. There is a Bose condensation of a two component fluid at $\nu = 1/2$.

References

1. I.B. Spielman, J.P. Eisenstein, L.N. Pfeiffer and K.W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5808 (2000).
2. I.B. Spielman, J.P. Eisenstein, L.N. Pfeiffer and K.W. West, cond-mat/0012094.
3. K.N. Shrivastava, Phys. Lett. A**113**, 435 (1986); **115**, 459(E) (1986).
4. K.N. Shrivastava, Mod. Phys. Lett. **13**, 1087 (1999).
5. K.N. Shrivastava, Superconductivity: Elementary Topics, World Scientific, Singapore (2000).
6. K.N. Shrivastava, Mod. Phys. Lett. **14**, 1009(2001).
7. J.P. Eisenstein and H.L. Störmer, Science **248**, 1510 (1990).
8. R.R. Du, H.L. Störmer, D.C. Tsui, L.N. Pfeiffer and K.W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2944 (1993).
9. W. Pan, J.S. Xia, V. Shavarts, D.E. Adams, H.L. Störmer, D.C. Tsui, L.N. Pfeiffer, K.W. Baldwin and K.W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3530 (1999).

10. J.P. Eisenstein, M.P. Lilly, K.B. Cooper, L.N. Pfeiffer and K.W. West, *Physica E* **6**, 29 (2000).
11. A.S. Yeh, H.L. Störmer, D.C. Tsui, L.N. Pfeiffer, K.W. Baldwin and K.W. West, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 592 (1999).
12. M.M. Fogler and F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 1833 (2001).
13. M.M. Fogler and F. Wilczek, cond-mat/0007403.
14. M. Stone, ed., *Quantum Hall effect*, World Scientific, Singapore 1992.
15. H. L. Störmer, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, 875 (1999).
16. R. H. Dicke, *Phys. Rev.* **89**, 472 (1953).
17. K. N. Shrivastava, CERN SCAN-0103007.
18. K. N. Shrivastava, cond-mat/0104004.
19. K. N. Shrivastava, cond-mat/0104577.
20. K. N. Shrivastava, cond-mat/0105559.
21. K. N. Shrivastava, cond-mat/0106160.